



Sh. Shambhu Nath,
515, Rama Street, Near Arya Girls School,
Moga.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o Chief Medical Officer-
Cum- Civil Surgeon, Moga.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o Director,
Health and Family Welfare,
Sector-34, Chandigarh.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2642 of 2021

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Dr.Deepika for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 06.01.2021 has sought information regarding the purchase of computers, printers, toners cartridges during 01.01.2018 till date including rates of each item alongwith procedure of purchase –rates/size/quality of advertisement material purchased or got prepared such as flex, boards, pamphlets, books etc. – name and designation of the officer assigned the duties of the registrar and deputy registrar of birth and deaths and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of CMO-cum-Civil Surgeon, Moga. The appellant was asked by the PIO vide letter dated 10.01.2021 to deposit the requisite fee of Rs.2248/- which the appellant did not deposit and filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 24.12.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case last came up for hearing on 14.12.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Moga. The appellant informed that the PIO had raised a fee of Rs.2248/- for the supply of photocopies of the bills and postal charges whereas he had sought only Govt. rules/notification/guidelines related to the required information vide para 4 of the application dated 06.01.2021.

The respondent was absent.

The PIO was directed to provide information to the appellant within 15 days of the receipt of the order otherwise the Commission will be constrained to initiate action under section 20 of the RTI Act.

Hearing dated 14.03.2022:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Moga. The respondent present informed that in compliance with the order of the Commission, the information on point-4 has been sent to the appellant vide letter dated 14.01.2022.

The appellant through email has informed that he has received the information on point-4 but the PIO has not supplied the remaining information relating to points 1,2,3,5 &6.

During the last hearing, it was informed by the appellant that the PIO had raised a fee of Rs.2248/- for the supply of photocopies of bills and postal charges whereas he had sought information only on point-4, which has been provided.

In view of the above, it is concluded that if the appellant wants further information, he is directed to deposit the requisite fee as raised by the PIO and get the remaining information.

With the above observation and order, the case is **disposed of and closed.**

Chandigarh
Dated: 14.03.2021

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission



Sh. Kanwaljit Singh, S/o Sh Gurcharan Singh,
R/o VPO Maheshri, Tehsil & Distt Moga.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o District Food Supply Officer,
Moga.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o District Controller,
Food Civil Supplies and Consumer Affairs,
Moga.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2871 of 2021

PRESENT: Sh.Kanwaljit Singh as the Appellant
Sh.Gurnam Singh- AFSO for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through RTI application dated 10.03.2021 has sought information regarding a copy of the order of the inspectors for inspecting the ration depots No.254, 496 of village Chotia Kalan, depot No. 145 & 483 of village Kahan Kalan, depot No.114 of village Khukhrna Kalan from 01.01.2014 to 15.02.2017 and other information as enumerated in the RTI application from the office of DFSC, Moga. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed first before the First Appellate Authority on 19.04.2021 which took no decision on the appeal. After filing the appeal, the PIO sent a reply to the appellant on 20.04.2021 to which the appellant was not satisfied and filed 2nd appeal in the Commission on 22.06.2021.

The case last came up for hearing on 14.12.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Moga. The appellant claimed that the PIO has not supplied the information.

As per the respondent, the information up to the year 2016 was missing.

The PIO was directed to trace the record and provide whatever information is available to the appellant within 15 days and send a compliance report to the commission. If the information is untraceable, an enquiry be conducted and responsibility be fixed as per the rules.

Hearing dated 14.03.2021:

The case has come up for hearing today through video conferencing at DAC Moga. As per the respondent, the information has been provided to the appellant vide letter dated 27.12.2021. The appellant has received the same.

Since the information has been provided, no further course of action is required. The case is **disposed of and closed**.

Chandigarh
Dated: 14.03.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commission



Sh.Om Prakash, S/o Sh Dev Dutt,
R/o Village Chuhri Wala Dhanna,
Tehsil & Distt Fazilka.

... Appellant

Versus

Public Information Officer,
O/o BDPO,
Khuiaan Sarwar Camp,
Abohar, Distt Fazilka.

First Appellate Authority,
O/o DDPO,
DC Complex, Fazilka.

...Respondent

Appeal Case No. 2401 of 2020

PRESENT: None for the Appellant
Sh.Ashok Kumar, Panchayat Secretary for the Respondent

ORDER:

The appellant through the RTI application dated 04.06.2020 has sought information regarding advocate Sh.Mahavir Bhatiwala – cases allotted from 2013 to 2018 – cases attended in the courts – fees paid to the advocate along with the copy of bills – a resolution passed for deputing the advocate and other information concerning the office of BDPO Khuia Sarwar Cap Abohar. The appellant was not provided with the information after which the appellant filed the first appeal before the First Appellate Authority on 17.07.2020 which took no decision on the appeal.

The case was first heard on 29.01.2021 through video conferencing at DAC Fazilka. Both the parties were absent.

The PIO was directed to provide the information to the appellant within 15 days and send a compliance report to the Commission.

On the date of the hearing on **17.05.2021**, both the parties were absent. The case was adjourned.

On the date of the hearing on **24.05.2021**, both the parties were absent. The PIO was directed to comply with the earlier order of the Commission and send a compliance report to the Commission.

On the date of the last hearing on 13.12.2021, the respondent was absent on the 4th consecutive hearing nor has complied with the order of the Commission to provide the information as well as not appearing before the Commission.

Since the PIO-BDPO, Khuia Sarwar Camp, Abohar was flouting the spirit of the RTI Act continuously and not appearing before the commission despite various orders of the Commission, to secure an erring PIO's presence before the commission, the PIO was issued a bailable warrant Under Section 18(3) of the RTI Act through Senior Superintendent of Police, Fazilka for his presence before the Commission on **14.03.2022**.

Appeal Case No. 2401 of 2020

The **PIO** was also directed to provide information to the appellant within 10 days of the receipt of this order.

Hearing dated 14.03.2022:

Sh.Ashok Kumar, Panchayat Secretary is present on behalf of the PIO-BDPO Khuian Sarwar camp Abohar and informed that reply has been given to the appellant and the appellant has acknowledged the same on 12.03.2021.

The appellant is continuously absent on the 4th hearing nor is represented to pursue the case.

The case is **disposed of and closed** for non-pursuance of the case by the appellant.

Chandigarh
Dated:14.03.2022

Sd/-
(Khushwant Singh)
State Information Commissioner